केन्द्रीय सूचना आयोग
Central Information Commission
बाबा गंगनाथ मार्ग,मुनिरका
Baba Gangnath Marg, Munirka
नई दिल्ली, New Delhi – 110067

द्वितीय अपील संख्या/ Second Appeal No. CIC/AWBIC/A/2020/692503

In the matter of:

Naresh Kadyan ... अपीलकर्ता/Appellant

VERSUS
बनाम

CPIO,
Animal welfare Board of
India 3rd Seaward Rd, Valmiki
Nagar, Thiruvalluvar Nagar,
Thiruvanmiyur, Chennai,
Tamil Nadu -600041 ...प्रतिवादीगण /Respondent

31
05/04/2022

Relevant dates emerging from the appeal:

RTI Application filed on	:	26.08.2020
CPIO replied on	:	Not on record
First Appeal filed on	:	30.09.2020
First Appellate Authority order	:	Not on record
Second Appeal received on	:	16.11.2020
Date of Hearing	:	11.03.2022

The following were present:

Appellant: Absent (informed the Commission vide an undated letter that he does not want to avail hearing).

Respondent: Shri Narender Kumar, CPIO and Under Secretary, Department of Animal Husbandry and Dairying and Smt. Prachi Jain, CPIO and Asst. Secretary, Animal Welfare Board of India participated in the hearing in person.

5/4/2022
HEO

ORDER

Information sought:

The Appellant filed an RTI Application dated 26.08.2020, seeking information on the following five points:

1. Misuse of power and authority, why NGO People for Animals allowed email on nic.in
2. Threat on Indian Security, as NIC is closely associated with the government in different aspects of governance by establishing a nationwide state-of-the-art ICT infrastructure and services for Central Government, State Governments, UT Administrations, Districts and other Government bodies. It offers a wide range of services which includes multi gigabit nationwide networks NICNET, NKN, National Data Centres, National Cloud, pan India VC infrastructure, Command and Control Centre, multi-layered GIS based platform, Domain Registration and Webcast. This plays a significant role in delivering citizen centric e-services. Supply me complete details of email Id: pplforanimals@nic.in , name, use, designation of applicant owner of this Id, why it was approved being NGO, who is responsible for it.
3. Copies of orders, related to ban the email of NGO as pplforanimals@nic.in with apology to the Nation along with complete list of emails granted to NGOs
4. Maneka Gandhi cheated CZA with Ten Lakhs but whistle blower Naresh Kadyan exposed her with solid evidences but recovery of public funds from her is not as per legal provisions of IPC with CrPC, supply complete details with copy of FIR lodged by CZA
5. As per NIC registration , only govt. officials can be decorated with NIC.IN email ID, where as non-govt. organization like People for Animals, is not entitled, besides it Menaka Gandi misuse her power and authority, vested in as M.P, please supply me PFA entitlement and action taken against her for breaching terms and conditions of NIC, public trust as well.

Having not received any information from the CPIO, the Appellant filed a **First Appeal** dated 30.09.2020, which has not been adjudicated by the First Appellate Authority as per available records.

Grounds for Second Appeal:

The Appellant filed a Second Appeal u/s 19 of the Act on the ground of unsatisfactory reply furnished by the Respondent. Appellant requested the Commission to direct the CPIO to provide complete information sought for.

Submissions made by Appellant and Respondent during Hearing:

The Appellant vide an undated letter has informed the Commission as under:

> *"2. ..., to decide on merit, on the following matters as I don't want to avail hearing."*

Smt. Prachi Jain submitted that an appropriate reply was provided to the Appellant on 23.09.2020 and the First Appellate Authority has also adjudicated the First Appeal on 13.11.2020. At the instance of the Commission, she handed over the copies of the aforesaid CPIO's reply and the First Appellate Authority's order to the Commission during the hearing.

Decision:

Upon perusal of the facts on record as well as on the basis of the proceedings during the hearing, the Commission observes that an appropriate reply has been provided to the Appellant and the same is upheld. Though the Appellant chose not to attend the instant hearing, for the reasons best known to him, the Commission has gone through the case records in entirety and finds no further scope of intervention in the matter.

With the above observations, the instant Second Appeal is disposed of.

Copy of the decision be provided free of cost to the parties.

The Appeal, hereby, stands disposed of.

Amita Pandove (अमिता पांडव)
Information Commissioner (सूचना आयुक्त)
दिनांक / Date: 11.03.2022

Authenticated true copy
(अभिप्रमाणित सत्यापित प्रति)

B. S. Kasana (बी. एस. कसाना)
Dy. Registrar (उप-पंजीयक)
011-26105027

Addresses of the parties:

1. The First Appellate Authority (FAA)
   Animal welfare Board of India
   3rd Seaward Rd, Valmiki Nagar,
   Thiruvalluvar Nagar, Thiruvanmiyur,
   Chennai, Tamil Nadu -600041

2. The Central Public Information Officer
   Animal welfare Board of India
   3rd Seaward Rd, Valmiki Nagar,
   Thiruvalluvar Nagar, Thiruvanmiyur,
   Chennai, Tamil Nadu -600041

3. Mr. Naresh Kadyan
   C 38, Rose Apartment, Prashant Vihar,
   Sector-14, Rohini, Delhi-110085